### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

## **PCT**

### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicants or agent's file reference BP110732	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See Form PCT/PEA/416			
International application No. PCT/F12005/000004	International filing date (day/monthlyeal 04.01.2005	Priority date (day/month/year) 05:01.2004			
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC C13K1/06, C08B30/12					
Applicant KEMIRA OYJ et all.					
This report is the international preliminary examination report, established by this International Preliminary Examining     Authority under Article 35 and transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.					
2. This REPORT consists of a total of	2. This REPORT consists of a total of 5 sheets, including this cover sheet:				
3. This report is also accompanied by	;. ·				
	the International Bureau) a total of				
sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis of this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions).					
☐ sheets which supersed beyond the disclosure i Supplemental Box:	sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental Box.				
sequence listing and/or table	ireau only) a total of (indicate type ar es related thereto, in computer reada isting (see Section 802 of the Admin	nd number of electronic carrier(s)) , containing a able form only, as indicated in the Supplemental abstrative Instructions).			
4. This report contains indications rela	ating to the following items:				
Box No. 1 Basis of the opini	on				
☐ Box No. II Priority	*****				
Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novel		nventive step and industrial applicability			
☐, Box No. IV Lack of unity of Invention		ति । ति भिरंपन्ते । अपने १००० व्या विभागित स्वात्रक्षा विभागित स्वात्रक्षा । विभागित स्वात्रक्षा			
Box No. V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement					
☐ Box No. VI Certain documen					
	the international application				
LI Box No. VIII Certain observation	ons on the international application				
Date of submission of the demand	Date of comple	ation of this report			
28.10.2005	20.01,2006				
Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority:  European Patent Office - P.B. 5	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	cer			
NI-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 6: Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016	Lensen, H	+31 70 340-2428			

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

IAP20 Recipienational application No. N. 2006

_			
	Box No. I Basis	of the report	
<ol> <li>With regard to the language, this report is based on the international application in the language if filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.</li> </ol>			nguage in which it wa
	☐ This report is I which is the la	based on translations from the original language into the following language of a translation furnished for the purposes of:	uage,
	publication	al search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)) of the international application (under Rule 12.4) al preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3)	
<ol> <li>With regard to the elements* of the international application, this report have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report):</li> </ol>		ed to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 a	acement sheets which re referred to in this
	Description, Pages		
	1-13	as originally filed	
	Claims, Numbers		
	1-14	as originally filed	
	Drawings, Sheets		
	1/1	as originally filed	
	□ a sequence lis	ting and/or any related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sec	juence Listing
3,		nts have resulted in the cancellation of:	
	☐ the descript☐ the claims,		
	☐ the drawing	ps, sheets/ligs	
	any table(s)	ce listing (specify): ) related to sequence listing (specify):	
4.	☐ This report has had not been made Supplemental Box	been established as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this repe, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)).	ort and listed below , as indicated in the
	☐ the descript☐ the claims,		
	the drawing	s, sheets/ligs	
		ce listing (specify): ) related to sequence listing (specify):	
		pplies, some or all of these sheets may be marked "s	unerceded ""

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/FI2005/000004

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

1-14

No: Claims

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

6, 9-11

No: Claims

1-5, 7-8, 12-14

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-14

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7):

see separate sheet

### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/FI2005/000004

## IAP20 Rec'd PCT/PTO 30 JUN 2006

#### Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1). Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: JP-A-01225601

& PAJ Volume 13, no. 549 (C-662)

D2: US-B1-6250721

D3: Journal of the American Chemical Society, 2002, Volume 124, pages 4974-4975

### 2). Art. 33(2) PCT:

The present application meets the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 1 is new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.

No document of the available prior art discloses unambiguously the depolymerization of starch, dissolved in an ionic liquid.

### 3) Art. 33(3) PCT:

The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 1 does not involve an inventive step in the sense of Article 33(3) PCT.

The document D1 is regarded as being the closest prior art to the subject-matter of claim 1, and discloses a depolymerization process of starch by microwave heating in the absence of an acid or a base catalyst and without an enzyme.

The subject-matter of claim 1 therefore differs from this known process in that the starch is dissolved in an ionic liquid solvent.

The problem to be solved by the present invention may therefore be regarded as to provide a new process for the selective and quantitative depolymerization of starch. The technical features of temperature and time are not specified and are in claim 4 and 5 generally known features in the technical filed of preparing glucose by hydrolysis of starch. The method is also directed to the quantitative depolymerization of starch into sugars only. The solution proposed in claim 1 of the present application cannot be considered as involving an inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT) for the following reasons.

D2 gives an clear suggestion that starch is degraded in an ionic liquid (see column 5, lines 14-16 and line 35. The skilled person would easily consider the degradation as a form of

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/FI2005/000004

depolymerization.

D3 discloses the dissolution and decomposition of cellulose with ionic liquids.

The hydrolysis of cellulose is for the skilled person a neighbouring technical field which he can consult easily for solving the problem posed. Cellulose is indicated as a biorenewable material and starch is also known as being biorenewable. In D3 the assistance of microwave heating is also emphasised as being beneficial.

The skilled person would easily find an incentive in D2 and/or D3 to adapt the teaching of D1 and come to something which falls within the terms of the claims of the present invention.

- 4). Dependent claims 2-5,7-8,12-14 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of inventive step, see documents D1-D3 and the corresponding passages cited in the search report.
- 5). The subject-matter of claim 6 and 9-11 involves an inventive step for the following reasons.

The skilled person has no hint from the available prior art to selectively depolymenze starch into amylopectin and sugars.

Moreover the skilled person can find no incentive to select specific ionic liquid solvents as defined in the claims 9-11 in the process of the depolymerization of polysaccharides such as starch.